# "Impact, Opportunities, and Challenges for Open Government: Creating and Implementing Co-Creation Commitments"

A propose was made to the Organizing Committee of the 2019 Global Summit of the OGP for the inclusion in the agenda of a panel focused on co-creation.

This panel proposition has been accepted under the impact thematic which implies that the panel must be designed with the goal of building strategies to help citizens understand how open government affects their day-to-day lives, and governments focus on outcomes.

The details of the panel are as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| **Title** | Impact, Opportunities, and Challenges for Open Government: Creating and Implementing Co-Creation Commitments |
| **Format** | 1.- Panel: Dynamic, high-level, facilitated conversations with up to 5 experts or practitioners, including government officials, civil society members, and representatives from other sectors. <br><br> 2.- The panel will be in English. We'll have a trilingual room (English, Spanish and French) – Room 210 <br><br> 3.- Theme: Impact |
| **Objectives** | • The panel will provide a space for participants from various sectors and regions to reflect on their experience of implementing open government (national and subnational level) and to provide tools to do it successfully. Questions to be addressed include: <br><br> ✓ In your experience, which approach of open government has been more successful, one with national scope or one with regional/subnational? <br> ✓ How does geography affects the impact results of open government initiatives? <br> ✓ What are the main conditions that promote the co-creation effectiveness? <br> ✓ How is co-creation being adapted and build on the ground and practice of the OGP Subnational Pilot? <br><br> • This session aims to improve the involvement and the responsiveness of public institutions and citizen participation in national and subnational governments through the understanding of the influence of the local context, problem-solving based on local contexts and the various jurisdictions in the open government making. After the summit, this session will produce a post-panel review, meeting notes/brochure from the panel on social media, attendees feedback, and call to action. |

| | |
|---|---|
| **Organizers** | 1.- Agencia de Gobierno Electrónico y Sociedad de la Información y del Conocimiento de Uruguay (AGESIC)<br><br>2.- National Institute for Transparency, Access to Information and Personal Data Protection (INAI), (Mexico) |
| **Date and Time** | Thursday 30<sup>th</sup> 2019, 13:40 – 15:00 hrs<br>Duration:80 minutes |
| **Location** | Room 210, Shaw Centre |
| **Moderation** | 1.- Kristina Reinsalu, Electronic governance academy, Civil society, Estonia |
| **Participants** | 1.   Joel Salas Suárez, Commissioner, INAI, Mexico (Confirmed)<br>2.   Virgina Pardo, AGESIC, Uruguay (Confirmed)<br>3.   Federico Anghelé, Riparte il Futuro, Italy (Confirmed)<br>4.   Jennifer Bretaña, POC, Government of South Cotabato, Philippines (Confirmed)<br>1.   5. Álvaro Ramírez Alujas, GIGAPP, Chile (Confirmed) |
| **Panelists profile** | **1. Virgina Pardo, AGESIC, Uruguay**<br>Computer Engineer graduated from the University of the Oriental Republic of Uruguay. She has experience coordinating projects in the area of software engineering and telecommunications. She has worked as Project Manager of Software Development, Implementation of Process Improvement and Normalization in the National Telecommunications Administration (ANTEL). She has teaching experience, especially in the area of Project Management and Information Technology Services Management. She is specialist in Quality Management, has the certification of Project Management Professional (PMP), Certificate of Foundation in Administration of IT Services (ITIL) and Certificate of Foundation COBIT.<br><br>**2.        Federico Anghelé, Riparte il Futuro, Italy**<br>Half analyst, half activist. A PhD in Political History, post-doc in Turin and Naples, He's spent most of his professional career in the field of research, studying Political science and recent European history, with a special focus on Italian events. In November 2012, he started working as a political advisor in the nonprofit field, at first at Latte Creative, a communication agency specialized in developing social and political campaigns for NGOs and Institutions.  As a political advisor, he had the opportunity to be fully involved in Riparte il futuro, an anticorruption campaign which has become the most successful digital initiative in Italy. Since July 2014 he's been working full time at Riparte il futuro, where he's in charge of Policy Strategies. His role is to analyze the political situation and identify the best strategies to advocate for Riparte il futuro, dealing with institutional affairs, meeting |

and building relationships with decision makers on a national and international level. Since 2014 he's also been engaged in Restarting the future, a European anticorruption initiative launched by NGOs from different countries.

**3.Jennifer Bretaña, POC, Government of South Cotabato, Philippines**

Provincial Planning and Development Coordinator of the Provincial Planning and Development Office of South Cobato, Philippines.

**4.        Álvaro Ramírez Alujas, GIGAPP, Chile (TBC)**

He has been dedicated to study, analyze and evaluate the progress of open government policies and strategies in recent years - within the framework of OGP. In addition, he's been a member of the Ibero-American Open Government Board (2016), part of the OGP Board in Chile (since 2013) and is currently the representative of the academy in the multi-stakeholder forum. Founder and researcher of the Research Group in Government, Administration and Public Policies (GIGAPP), professor at the Institute of Public Affairs (INAP) of the University of Chile and President of the Multitudes Foundation.

**5.        Joel Salas Suárez, Commisioner at INAI Mexico**

He has a degree in International Trade from the Institute of Higher Studies of the West (ITESO), a master's degree in Political Science from the Institute of Higher Studies in Latin America (IHEAL) and a Ph.D. in Political Science from the School of Advanced Studies in Social Sciences of the University of Paris III-Sorbonne Nouvelle. He served as head of the Transparency and International Cooperation Policy Unit of the Ministry of Public Administration as a specialist in open government issues. His academic and professional experience has focused on the development and implementation of strategies and policies of transparency and combating corruption; implementation of policies on good governance and public ethics; as well as the analysis of social movements and democratization in Mexico. He is currently commissioner of the INAI and coordinates the commissions on Access to Information Policies and Open Government and Transparency.

| | 6. **Kristina Reinsalu, Electronic governance academy, Civil society, Estonia** |
|---|---|
| | She has extensive experience in working with local authorities and their communities in Estonia, in Europe and beyond its borders assisting them on how to interact with citizens, how to engage citizens in decision-making processes with particular focus on digital tools enabling these processes. She has designed, delivered and coordinated several successful local and international projects on implementation of OGP methodology at local level, focusing in particular on e-services and e-participation; Many of them have had a clear and sound impact and have laid the foundation for new governing practices or even policies. |

About some of the cases that will be showcased in the panel, the INAI will present its initiative called *Open Government: locally driven co-creation* where the local efforts related to open government in 28 states of the country will be mentioned[1]. In the rest of the cases, each panelist will present and talk about some cases related to local co-creation; however, the final topics are yet to be defined by each panelist.

# PANEL DYNAMICS

## 13:40- 15:00 HR → 80 minutes
https://ogpsummit.org/en/summit-program/agenda/session/?Session=1296

| Time Length | Intervention | Total Time |
|---|---|---|
| 10 minutes | First intervention by the moderator | 10 minutes |
| 25 minutes | Opening Statement by every speaker (5 minutes each) | 35 minutes |
| 15 minutes | Second statement by every speaker (3 minutes each) | 50 minutes |
| 5 minutes | Second intervention by the moderator | 55 minutes |
| 10 minutes | Speakers answer the questions | 65 minutes |
| 15 minutes | If the Q&A sessions finishes on time, the speakers will have time for a closing statement (3 minutes each) | 80 minutes |
| CLOSURE | 3 key take away ideas from the panel by the moderator | CLOSURE |

---

[1] https://micrositios.inai.org.mx/gobiernoabierto/?page_id=877 – Spanish
https://micrositios.inai.org.mx/gobiernoabierto/en/?page_id=877 - English

**First intervention by the moderator:** Welcome message, presentation of speakers, panel dynamic, opening statement and controversial question.

**Second intervention by the moderator:** The moderator highlights some of the audience responses to her initial questions and takes 3-5 questions from the audience for the speakers to answer

The moderator should use the web app[2] for live interactions since the beginning of the panel. When mentioning the dynamics, the moderator should mention the existence of initial questions (2-3) on the app that the audience are invited to answer. Additionally, the moderator should remember the audience there's the possibility for them to submit their questions to the speakers through the app and vote for those who they think are most relevant.

---

[2] Please review the Event Technology Guide or use another tool as "Kahoot"